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Xiaohan Dan,† Pouya Khazaei,‡ Ningyi Lyu,† Ellen Mulvihill,† Yuchen Wang,¶ Saurabh Baswaraj,¶ Sabre Kais,¶ Victor S. Batista,†§ and Eitan Geva*,‡

†Department of Chemistry, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA
‡Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA
¶Department of Chemistry, Department of Physics and Purdue Quantum Science and Engineering Institute, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA
§Yale Quantum Institute, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, USA

E-mail: ???@???

Contents

1 Introduction 3

2 The Spin-Boson Model 6

3 GQME for Spin-Boson Model 9

3.1 The projected Liouvillian 10

4 The memory kernel 13

4.1 Calculation of the Projection-Free Inputs 13

4.2 Calculation of the Memory Kernel 15
5 Solution of the GQME

6 Quantum Algorithms of GQME based on Dilation
   6.1 Solving the GQME to get the propagator
   6.2 Dilation of the non-unitary propagator
   6.3 Quantum Simulation of GQME with QASM Simulator

7 Conclusion

8 Acknowledgement

References
Abstract

Finite temperature simulations of quantum dynamics in complex chemical systems represent an outstanding and ongoing challenge. In practice, it is often advantageous to focus on the quantum dynamics of a quantity of interest, such as the reduced density matrix of a system coupled to a bath, which can be treated as an open quantum system. The Nakajima-Zwanzig generalized quantum master equation (GQME) provides a formally exact framework for simulating the non-Markovian quantum dynamics of such an open system. This article is Part III of a series of tutorials about simulating quantum dynamics on quantum computers. In this part, we focus on simulating the non-Markovian open quantum system dynamics described by a GQME. The quantum algorithm, which is based on the Sz.-Nagy dilation theorem, is demonstrated on the spin-boson model.

1 Introduction

We focus on molecular systems with an overall Hamiltonian of the following form, which is suitable and commonly used for modeling electronic energy and charge transfer reactions in chemical systems:

\[ \hat{H} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_e} \hat{H}_j(\hat{R}, \hat{P}) \ket{j}\bra{j} + \sum_{j,k=1}^{N_e} \hat{V}_{jk}(\hat{R}) \ket{j}\bra{k} . \]  

Here, \( \hat{H}_j(\hat{R}, \hat{P}) = \hat{P}^2/2 + V_j(\hat{R}) \) is the nuclear Hamiltonian when the system is in the diabatic electronic state \( \ket{j} \), with the index \( j \) running over the \( N_e \) electronic states; \( \{ \hat{V}_{jk}(\hat{R}) | j \neq k \} \) are coupling terms between electronic states; and \( \hat{R} = \{ \hat{R}_1, \hat{R}_2, ..., \hat{R}_{N_n} \} \) and \( \hat{P} = \{ \hat{P}_1, \hat{P}_2, ..., \hat{P}_{N_n} \} \) are the mass-weighted position and momentum operators of the \( N_n \) nuclear degrees of freedom (DOFs). Throughout this paper, boldfaced variables, e.g., \( \mathbf{A} \), indicate vector quantities; a hat over a variable, e.g., \( \hat{B} \), indicates an operator quantity; and calligraphic font, e.g., \( \mathcal{L} \), indicates a superoperator.
Assuming that the overall system is closed, its dynamics can be described by the quantum Liouville equation

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \hat{\rho}(t) = -i \frac{\hbar}{\hbar} \left[ \hat{H}, \hat{\rho}(t) \right] = -i \frac{\hbar}{\hbar} \mathcal{L} \hat{\rho}(t) \ . \]  

Here, \( \hat{\rho}(t) \) is the density operator that describes the state of the overall system and \( \mathcal{L}(\cdot) = [\hat{H}, \cdot] \) is the overall system Liuovillian superoperator with \( \hat{H} \) as in Eq. (1). The computational cost of solving the quantum Liouville equation, Eq. (2), scales exponentially with the number of electronic and nuclear DOFs \((N_n + N_e)\). A more cost-effective alternative approach focuses on the electronic DOFs (the so-called "system"), which are the quantity of interest when it comes to energy and charge transfer, and seeks a minimal and compact description of the effect of the nuclear DOFs (the so-called "bath") on them. In this case, the electronic DOFs constitute an open quantum system whose dynamics can be rigorously described by a GQME (see below).

A reasonable choice of overall system initial state is given by

\[ \hat{\rho}(0) = \hat{\rho}_n(0) \otimes \hat{\sigma}(0) \ . \]  

Here, \( \hat{\rho}_n(0) = \text{Tr}_e \{ \hat{\rho}(0) \} \) is the reduced density operator that describes the initial state of the nuclear DOFs, where \( \text{Tr}_e \{ \cdot \} \) stands for partially tracing over the electronic Hilbert space. Similarly, \( \hat{\sigma}(0) \) is the reduced density operator that describes the initial state of the electronic DOFs, as obtained by partially tracing over the nuclear Hilbert space:

\[ \hat{\sigma}(0) = \text{Tr}_n \{ \hat{\rho}(0) \} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{N_e} \sigma_{jk}(0) |j\rangle \langle k| \ . \]  

Integrating the Eq. (2), the state of the overall system at a later time \( t \) is given by:

\[ \hat{\rho}(t) = e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} \hat{\rho}_n(0) \otimes \hat{\sigma}(0) e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar} \equiv e^{-i\mathcal{L}t/\hbar} \hat{\rho}_n(0) \otimes \hat{\sigma}(0) \ . \]
Here, $\hat{H}$ is the overall Hamiltonian, Eq. (1), and $\mathcal{L}(\cdot) = [\hat{H}, \cdot]$ is the corresponding Liouvillian. The electronic state at time $t$ is given by the electronic reduced density operator:

$$\hat{\sigma}(t) = \text{Tr}_n \{ \hat{\rho}(t) \} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{N_e} \sigma_{jk}(t) |j\rangle\langle k| .$$

(6)

Importantly, knowledge of $\hat{\sigma}(t)$ allows for the evaluation of both the electronic populations, $\{ \sigma_{jj}(t) = \langle j|\hat{\sigma}(t)|j\rangle \}$, and coherences, $\{ \sigma_{jk}(t) = \langle j|\hat{\sigma}(t)|k\rangle |j \neq k\}$. In this tutorial, we focus on the GQME that treats $\hat{\sigma}(t)$ as the quantity of interest, which is given by:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \hat{\sigma}(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \langle \mathcal{L} \rangle_n \hat{\sigma}(t) - \int_0^t d\tau \mathcal{K}(\tau) \hat{\sigma}(t - \tau) .$$

(7)

Here, $\langle \mathcal{L} \rangle_n^0$ is the projected Liouvillian averaged over the initial state of the nuclear DOF (resulting in a superoperator in the electronic Liouville-subspace), given by

$$\langle \mathcal{L} \rangle_n^0 (\cdot) \equiv \text{Tr}_n \{ \hat{\rho}_n(0) \mathcal{L} \} (\cdot)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{N_e} \langle \hat{H}_j \rangle_n^0 |j\rangle \langle j| + \sum_{j,k=1}^{N_e} \langle \hat{V}_{jk} \rangle_n^0 |j\rangle \langle k| ,$$

and $\mathcal{K}(\tau)$ is the memory kernel superoperator, given by

$$\mathcal{K}(\tau) = \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \text{Tr}_n \left\{ \mathcal{L} e^{-i\mathcal{Q}\tau/\hbar} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L} \hat{\rho}_n(0) \right\} .$$

(9)

Here, $\mathcal{P}(\cdot) = \hat{\rho}_n(0) \otimes \text{Tr}_n \{ \cdot \}$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}$ are complementary projection superoperators ($\mathcal{I}$ is the unity superoperator). The forms and derivations of the above GQME, along with its $\langle \mathcal{L} \rangle_n^0$ and $\mathcal{K}(\tau)$, can be found in many previous studies.4–13

Recently, open quantum system dynamics based on the GQME has been simulated on NISQ computers based on the Sz.-Nagy dilation.3 In this tutorial, we provide a step-by-step description of the implementation of this methodology on the spin-boson model.
2 The Spin-Boson Model

The spin-boson model is widely used for simulating electronic energy and charge transfer dynamics in chemical systems.\footnote{1, 14} Used in this context, the two electronic states within this model ($N_e = 2$) correspond to the diabatic donor and acceptor states ($|D\rangle$ and $|A\rangle$, respectively). The nuclear Hamiltonians that correspond to the donor and acceptor states are assumed harmonic and identical except for a shift in equilibrium energy and optical geometry. Those assumptions give rise to a Hamiltonian of the following form:

$$
\hat{H} = \epsilon \hat{\sigma}_z + \Gamma \hat{\sigma}_x + \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} \left[ \frac{\hat{p}_i^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_i^2 \hat{R}_i^2 - c_i \hat{R}_i \hat{\sigma}_z \right].
$$

(10)

Here, $\hat{\sigma}_z = |D\rangle \langle D| - |A\rangle \langle A|$, $\hat{\sigma}_x = |D\rangle \langle A| + |A\rangle \langle D|$, $2\epsilon$ is the reaction energy and $\Gamma \equiv V_{DA}$ is the electronic coupling between the donor and acceptor states.

A spin-boson model Hamiltonian is often given in terms of the so-called spectral density underlying it, which is given by:

$$
J(\omega) = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \frac{c_k^2}{\omega_k} \delta(\omega - \omega_k).
$$

(11)

For the sake of concreteness, we focus in this tutorial on the case of Ohmic spectral density with exponential cutoff (the methodology can easily accommodate other types of spectral densities):

$$
J(\omega) = \frac{\pi \hbar}{2} \xi \omega e^{-\omega/\omega_c}.
$$

(12)

Here, $\xi$ is the Kondo parameter which determines the coupling strength between the system and bath, and $\omega_c$ is the cutoff frequency. In what follows, we will also assume that the chemical system starts in the donor state in thermal equilibrium that corresponds to the nuclear Hamiltonian $(\hat{H}_D + \hat{H}_A)/2$, such that (the methodology can easily accommodate
other types initial states of the form of Eq. (3):

\[ \hat{\rho}(0) = |D\rangle \langle D| \otimes \hat{\rho}_n(0) \]  

\[ \hat{\rho}_n(0) = \frac{\exp \left[ -\beta \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} \frac{\hat{P}_i^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_i^2 \hat{R}_i^2 \right]}{\text{Tr}_n \left\{ \exp \left[ -\beta \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} \frac{\hat{P}_i^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_i^2 \hat{R}_i^2 \right] \right\}} \]  

Here, \( \beta = 1/k_B T \) where \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant and \( T \) is the absolute temperature. Thus, the electronic energy and charge transfer dynamics can be given in terms of five spin-boson model parameters: \( \epsilon, \Gamma, \beta, \xi, \) and \( \omega_c \).
Script 2.1: Installing and importing dependencies

```python
import os
from google.colab import drive

# Mount Google Drive
drive.mount('/content/mydir')

# Define the path for the folder where you want to clone the repository
folder_path = '/content/mydir/MyDrive/GQME_Tutorial'

# Create the folder if it doesn't already exist
if not os.path.exists(folder_path):
    os.makedirs(folder_path)
    print(f"Created folder: {folder_path}"

# Change the current working directory to the folder where you want to clone the repository
os.chdir(folder_path)

# Clone the GitHub Repository into the specified folder

import numpy as np
np.float = float
np.complex = complex
import time

#parameters in the simulation
from params import *
#read and write functions
import readwrite as wr
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
```

Script 2.2: Spin-Boson Model parameters

```python
GAMMA_DA = 1 # diabatic coupling
EPSILON = 1
BETA = 5 # inverse finite temperature beta = 1 / (k_B * T)
XI = 0.1
OMEGA_C = 2
```

There are many numerically exact or approximate methods for the simulation of the spin-boson model\[^{17,20}\]; here as an example, we show the result obtained from the numerically exact tensor-train thermofield dynamics (TT-TFD) method.\[^{17}\]
Script 2.3: Using TT-TFD to simulate Spin-Boson Model

```python
1 pip install git+https://github.com/bcallen95/ttopy.git --quiet
2 import tt_tfd as tfd
3
4 #RDO: reduced density operator, contain the information of population and coherence
5 #initial_state=0: initial at Donor state
6 t, RDO_arr = tfd.tt_tfd(initial_state=0)

7 #TT-TFD is time-consuming, after running it once, you can read it from the file without
8 → running it again
9 #output to the file
10 wr.output_operator_array(t, RDO_arr, "TTTFD_Output/TFDSigma_")
11
12 #read and plot
13 t, RDO_arr = wr.read_operator_array("TTTFD_Output/TFDSigma_")
14 plt.figure(figsize=(6,2))
15 plt.plot(t, RDO_arr[:,0].real,'b-', label='TT-TFD')
16 plt.xlabel('$\Gamma t$',fontsize=15)
17 plt.ylabel('$\sigma_{DD}$(t)',fontsize=15)
18 plt.legend()
```

The result is shown in figure 1.

![Figure 1: Population on the donor state $|D\rangle$ for the Spin-Boson model, obtained by TT-TFD method.](image)

3 GQME for Spin-Boson Model

Since the spin-boson model is a two-state model ($N_e = 2$), the reduced electronic density operator is represented by a $2 \times 2$ matrix which can be given in terms of four matrix elements:
\[ \sigma_{ij}(t) = \langle i|\hat{\sigma}(t)|j \rangle \] with \( i, j \in \{D, A\} \). Here, the diagonal elements \( \sigma_{ii}(t) \), which are known as populations, correspond to the occupancies of the donor and acceptor states, while the off-diagonal terms, which are known as coherences, contain information about the coherent nature of the state. Focusing on electronic energy and charge transfer, our focus would be on the dynamics of the populations of the donor and acceptor states, \( \{\sigma_{DD}(t), \sigma_{AA}(t)\} \).

The electronic reduced density operator is represented in the code in its vectorized form:

\[ \hat{\sigma}(t) \equiv [\sigma_{DD}(t), \sigma_{DA}(t), \sigma_{AD}(t), \sigma_{AA}(t)]^T. \] (15)

Thus, according to Eq. (13), the electronic initial state is \( \hat{\sigma}(0) = |D\rangle\langle D| = [1, 0, 0, 0]^T \). In this representation, the super-operators \( \langle \mathcal{L} \rangle_0^n \) and \( \mathcal{K}(t) \) are represented by \( 4 \times 4 \) matrices.

### 3.1 The projected Liouvillian

We start with determining \( \langle \mathcal{L} \rangle_0^n \) in Eq. (8). This electronic superoperator is defined by the way it acts on an arbitrary electronic operator \( \hat{A} \):

\[ \langle \mathcal{L} \rangle_0^n \hat{A} = \text{Tr}_n \left\{ \left[ \hat{H}, \hat{\rho}_n(0) \otimes \hat{A} \right] \right\} = \left[ \epsilon \hat{\sigma}_z + \Gamma \hat{\sigma}_x, \hat{A} \right]. \] (16)

To get the second equality we used the property

\[ \left[ \frac{\hat{P}_i^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_i^2 \hat{R}_i^2, \hat{\rho}_n(0) \otimes \hat{A} \right] = \left[ \frac{\hat{P}_i^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_i^2 \hat{R}_i^2, \hat{\rho}_n(0) \otimes \hat{A} \right] = 0, \]

and

\[ \text{Tr}_n \left\{ c_i \hat{R}_i \hat{\rho}_n(0) \right\} = 0, \]

since the expectation value of the position operator in an unsifted harmonic oscillator at thermal equilibrium vanishes.
Using the vectorized form of the system subspace operator in Eq. (15), \( \langle \mathcal{L} \rangle^0_n \) in Eq. (16) can be written as

\[
\langle \mathcal{L} \rangle^0_n = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -\Gamma & \Gamma & 0 \\
-\Gamma & 2\epsilon & 0 & \Gamma \\
\Gamma & 0 & -2\epsilon & -\Gamma \\
0 & \Gamma & -\Gamma & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(17)

The projected Liouvillian \( \langle \mathcal{L} \rangle^0_n \) describes the time evolution that would be observed if the system was uncoupled from the bath [i.e. the memory kernel \( K(t) \) in Eq. (9) is zero since \( P = I \) and \( Q = 0 \)], integrating Eq. (7) with \( K(t) = 0 \) gives

\[
\hat{\sigma}(t) = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \langle \mathcal{L} \rangle^0_n t} \hat{\sigma}(0) .
\]

(18)
from scipy.linalg import expm
sigma_liou = np.zeros((TIME_STEPS, DOF_E_SQ), dtype=np.complex_)
time_arr = np.linspace(0, (TIME_STEPS-1)*DT, TIME_STEPS)
sigma_liou[0] = np.array([1.0, 0, 0, 0], dtype=np.complex_)
for i in range(1, TIME_STEPS):
    sigma_liou[i] = expm(-1j*LN0*i*DT)@sigma_liou[0]

# read TT-TFD result and plot to compare
plt.figure(figsize=(6,2))
plt.plot(time_arr, sigma_liou[:,0].real,'b-', label='Liouvillian only')
plt.plot(timeVec, sigma_tt_tfd[:,0].real,'ko', markersize=4, markevery=60,
        label='TT-TFD')
plt.xlabel('$\Gamma t$', fontsize=15)
plt.ylabel('$\sigma_{DD}(t)$', fontsize=15)
plt.legend(loc = 'upper right')

The result shown in Fig. 2. The system oscillates between the donor state $|D\rangle$ and the acceptor state $|A\rangle$, which corresponds to the time evolution of the pure system without the nuclear bath (i.e. dynamics of the two-level closed system\textsuperscript{[1]}). Compared to the TT-TFD results, coupling to the bath brings in the dissipation effect, which makes the oscillation decay.

Figure 2: The population dynamics on the donor state $|D\rangle$. Here the dynamics correspond to the projected Liouvillian only. The numerically exact TT-TFD result is also shown for comparison.
4 The memory kernel

The memory kernel, Eq. (9), can be calculated by solving the following Volterra equation of the second kind:\[20,26–29\]

\[ K(t) = i \dot{F}(t) - \frac{1}{\hbar} F(t) \langle L \rangle_n^0 + i \int_0^t d\tau \ F(t - \tau) K(\tau) . \] (19)

Here, \( F(t) \) and \( \dot{F}(t) \), which are known as the projection-free inputs (PFIs), are given by:

\[ F(t) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \text{Tr}_n[Le^{-iLt/\hbar}\hat{\rho}_n(0)] \] (20)
\[ \dot{F}(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar^2} \text{Tr}_n[Le^{-iLt/\hbar}\hat{\rho}_n(0)] \] (21)

Below we outline the procedures used for calculating the PFIs and then using them to obtain the memory kernel by solving Eq. (19).

4.1 Calculation of the Projection-Free Inputs

The PFIs can be obtained in multiple ways.\[8,11,17,25\] For the sake of concreteness, in this tutorial, we focus on obtaining them via TT-TFD. To this end, we note that \( F(t) = i\dot{U}(t) \), where \( U(t) \) is the non-unitary time evolution superoperator, or propagator, of the system, which is defined by:

\[ \hat{\sigma}(t) = U(t)\hat{\sigma}(0) = \text{Tr}_n [e^{-iLt/\hbar}\hat{\rho}_n(0)] \hat{\sigma}(0) , \] (22)

Thus, \( F(t) \) and \( \dot{F}(t) \) can be obtained through taking time-derivatives of \( U(t) \). The propagator \( U(t) \), is a super-operator with the matrix element \( U_{jk,lm}(t) \) with \( j, k, l, m \in \{D, A\} \), which can be defined by starting from initial state \( |l\rangle\langle m| \otimes \hat{\rho}_n(0) \), and measuring \( |j\rangle\langle k| \) at time \( t \). The following code below shows obtaining \( \{U_{jk,lm}(t)\} \) via the TT-TFD method.\[17\]
Once $\{U_{jk,lm}(t)\}$ are obtained via TT-TFD, the PFIs $\mathcal{F}(t)$ and $\dot{\mathcal{F}}(t)$ can be obtained from it by taking time derivatives.
4.2 Calculation of the Memory Kernel

The memory kernel is obtained by solving Eq. (19). This is done by using the iterative algorithm outlined below. To this end, we put Eq. (19) in the following form

\[ K(t) = g(t) + \int_0^t f(t - \tau)K(\tau)d\tau \]  

where \( g(t) = i\dot{\mathcal{F}}(t) - \frac{i}{\hbar}\mathcal{F}(t)\langle \mathcal{L}\rangle_n^0 \) and \( f(t - \tau) = \mathcal{F}(t - \tau) \).
Eq. (23) is solved via the iterative algorithm outlined next. To calculate $\mathcal{K}(n\Delta t)$ [where $n = 0, 1, 2, ..., N$ and $N\Delta t = t$], we start with an initial guess of $\mathcal{K}^0(n\Delta t) = g(n\Delta t)$ and iterate until convergence is accomplished:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{K}^0(n\Delta t) &= g(n\Delta t) \\
\mathcal{K}^1(n\Delta t) &= g(n\Delta t) + \int_0^{n\Delta t} d\tau f(n\Delta t - \tau)\mathcal{K}^0(\tau) \\
\mathcal{K}^2(n\Delta t) &= g(n\Delta t) + \int_0^{n\Delta t} d\tau f(n\Delta t - \tau)\mathcal{K}^1(\tau) \\
&\vdots \\
\mathcal{K}^i(n\Delta t) &= g(n\Delta t) + \int_0^{n\Delta t} d\tau f(n\Delta t - \tau)\mathcal{K}^{i-1}(\tau) \quad \text{where} \quad |\mathcal{K}^i(n\Delta t) - \mathcal{K}^{i-1}(n\Delta t)| \leq 10^{-10}
\end{align*}
\]

Within the code, the time integrals are calculated using the trapezoidal rule. Convergence is determined via the following criterion $|\mathcal{K}_{jk,lm}^{\text{sub},i}(n\Delta t) - \mathcal{K}_{jk,lm}^{\text{sub},i-1}(n\Delta t)| \leq 10^{-10}$ for all matrix elements $j, k, l, m$ and time steps $n$. 
Script 4.4: Memory Kernel - Volterra Algorithm

1. START_TIME = time.time() # starts timing
2. # sets initial guess to the linear part
3. prevKernel = linearTerm.copy()
4. kernel = linearTerm.copy()

5. # loop for iterations
6. for numIter in range(1, MAX_ITERS + 1):
7.     iterStartTime = time.time() # starts timing of iteration
8.     print("Iteration:", numIter)

9.     # calculates kernel using prevKernel and trapezoidal rule
10.    kernel = CalculateIntegral(DOF_E_SQ, F, linearTerm, prevKernel, kernel)
11.    numConv = 0 # parameter used to check convergence of entire kernel
12.    for i in range(DOF_E_SQ):
13.        for j in range(DOF_E_SQ):
14.            for n in range(TIME_STEPS):
15.                # if matrix element and time step of kernel is converged, adds 1
16.                    if abs(kernel[n][i][j] - prevKernel[n][i][j]) <= CONVERGENCE_PARAM:
17.                        numConv += 1

18.                # if at max iters, prints which elements and time steps did not converge and prevKernel and kernel values
19.                elif numIter == MAX_ITERS:
20.                    print("\tK time step and matrix element that didn't converge: %s, %s\(n,i,j)\)
21.                    print("\tIteration time:", time.time() - iterStartTime)

22.    # enters if all times steps and matrix elements of kernel converged
23.    if numConv == TIME_STEPS * DOF_E_SQ * DOF_E_SQ:
24.        # prints number of iterations and time necessary for convergence
25.        print("Number of Iterations:", numIter, "\tVolterra time:", time.time() - START_TIME)

26.        # prints memory kernel to files
27.        wr.output_superoper_array(timeVec, kernel,"K_Output/K_.")
28.        break # exits the iteration loop

29.    # if not converged, stores kernel as prevKernel, zeros the kernel, and then sets kernel at t = 0 to linear part
30.    prevKernel = kernel.copy()
31.    kernel = linearTerm.copy()

32.    # if max iters reached, prints lack of convergence
33.    if numIter == MAX_ITERS:
34.        print("\tERROR: Did not converge for %s iterations\%MAX_ITERS")
35.        print("\tVolterra time:", print(time.time() - START_TIME))
The function \textit{CalculateIntegral} calculates the integral part of the Volterra equation through the trapezoidal rule which approximates an integral on a uniform grid with \( N \) slices as:

\[
\int_a^b f(t)dt \approx h \left[ \frac{1}{2} f(a) + \frac{1}{2} f(b) + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} f(a + k \ast h) \right],
\]

where \( h = (a - b) / N \).

As an illustration, Fig. 3 depicts two matrix elements \( K_{DD,DD}(t) \) and \( K_{DA,DD}(t) \), of the memory kernel. The memory kernel describes the influence of the bath on the system dynamics. The small amplitude of \( K_{DD,DD}(t) \) implies that the environmental effect does not directly impact the dynamics of \( \sigma_{DD} \) itself. \( K_{DA,DD}(t) \) exhibits a larger amplitude, indicating that the bath induces the population \( \sigma_{DD} \) at an earlier time to influence the coherence dynamics of \( \sigma_{DA} \) at the current time, which is the feature of non-Markovian dynamics. \( K_{DA,DD}(t) \) initiates from 0, increases, and then decays over time due to the relaxation of the
bath. If at time $\tau_B$ $K_{DA,DD}(t)$ decays to zero, the bath loses its memory at the timescale of $\tau_B$, signifying that $\sigma_{DD}$ before $t - \tau_B$ no longer influences the dynamics of $\sigma_{DA}$ at time $t$.

Figure 3: Memory kernel for the Spin-Boson model, here only $K_{DD,DD}(t)$ and $K_{DA,DD}(t)$ elements are shown.

5 Solution of the GQME

Given $(\mathcal{L})^0_n$ and $K(\tau)$, as outlined in the preceding subsections, the GQME, Eq. (7) is solved using the 4th-order Runge-Kutta (RK4) method. More specifically, given the initial value problem

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y) \quad \text{with an initial value} \quad y(t_0) = y_0 , \quad (25)$$
and substituting $\hat{\sigma}(t)$ for $y$, the RK4 method propagates $y$ from time $t_n$ to time $t_{n+1}$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, ...$) as follows:

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{h}{6} \left(k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4\right),$$

(26)

where $y_n = y(t_n)$, $y_{n+1} = y(t_{n+1})$, $h$ is the time step, and

$$k_1 = f(t_n, y_n),$$

$$k_2 = f\left(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, y_n + \frac{h}{2}k_1\right),$$

$$k_3 = f\left(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, y_n + \frac{h}{2}k_2\right),$$

$$k_4 = f\left(t_n + h, y_n + hk_3\right).$$

---

**Script 5.1: GQME - Propagation via RK4 Method**

```python
def PropagateRK4(currentTime, memTime, kernel, sigma_hold, sigma):
    f_0 = Calculatef(currentTime, memTime, kernel, sigma, sigma_hold)

    k_1 = sigma_hold + DT * f_0 / 2.
    f_1 = Calculatef(currentTime + DT / 2., memTime, kernel, sigma, k_1)

    k_2 = sigma_hold + DT * f_1 / 2.
    f_2 = Calculatef(currentTime + DT / 2., memTime, kernel, sigma, k_2)

    k_3 = sigma_hold + DT * f_2
    f_3 = Calculatef(currentTime + DT, memTime, kernel, sigma, k_3)

    sigma_hold += DT / 6. * (f_0 + 2. * f_1 + 2. * f_2 + f_3)

    return sigma_hold
```

```
Compare to GQME in Eq. (7), the time-derivate function $f(t, y)$ in Eq. (25) is

$$f(t, \hat{\sigma}) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{lm} \langle \mathcal{L}_{jk,lm} \rangle_n \hat{\sigma}_{lm}(t) \sum_{lm} \int_0^t d\tau \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{jk,lm}(\tau) \hat{\sigma}_{lm}(t - \tau), \quad (27)$$

which is calculated using the extended trapezoidal rule using the function `Calculatef`

```python
def Calculatef(currentTime, memTime, kernel, sigma_array, kVec):
    global LN0, HBAR

    memTimeSteps = int(memTime / DT)
    currentTimeStep = int(currentTime / DT)

    f_t = np.zeros(kVec.shape, dtype=np.complex_)

    f_t -= 1.j / HBAR * LN0 @ kVec

    limit = memTimeSteps
    if currentTimeStep < (memTimeSteps - 1):
        limit = currentTimeStep
    for l in range(limit):
        f_t -= DT * kernel[l,:,,:] @ sigma_array[currentTimeStep - l]
    return f_t
```

With the functions `PropagateRK4` and `Calculatef` defined, the GQME is solved to obtain $\hat{\sigma}(t)$. 
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The result shows that the dynamics calculated from GQME are the same as the Exact TT-TFD result. Which demonstrates the correctness of our memory kernel and GQME approach.
6 Quantum Algorithms of GQME based on Dilation

6.1 Solving the GQME to get the propagator

In this section, we introduce the quantum simulation of the GQME. To start with, the open quantum system’s non-unitary time evolution propagator $G(t)$ is given by:

$$\hat{\sigma}(t) = G(t)\hat{\sigma}(0) .$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

This non-unitarity arises due to the interaction of the system with its surrounding environment, leading to irreversible processes and the often intricate behavior of the system over time. Substituting Eq. (28) into Eq. (7) and noting that the GQME should be satisfied for an arbitrary choice of $\hat{\sigma}(0)$, it is straightforward to show that $G(t)$ satisfies the same GQME as $\hat{\sigma}(t)$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}G(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} (L)_{\alpha}^{\beta}G(t) - \int_{0}^{t} d\tau \mathcal{K}(\tau)G(t - \tau) .$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)
Therefore, start from identity superoperator $G(0) = I$, we can calculate $G(t)$ by solving the GQME with the same $\langle L \rangle^{0}_n$ and $K(\tau)$ given in previous sections.

### Script 6.1: Calculating $G(t)$ by solving the GQME

```python
#read the memory kernel
timeVec, kernel = wr.read_superoper_array("K_Output/K_")

# array for Propagator superoperator elements
G_prop = np.zeros((TIME_STEPS, DOF_E_SQ, DOF_E_SQ), dtype=np.complex_)

#time 0 propagator: identity superoperator
G_prop[0] = np.eye(DOF_E_SQ)

#array to hold copy of G propagator
G_prop_hold = np.eye((DOF_E_SQ), dtype=np.complex_)

# loop to propagate G_prop using GQME
print(">>> Starting GQME propagation, memory time =", MEM_TIME)
for l in range(TIME_STEPS - 1): # it propagates to the final time step
    if l%100==0: print(l)
    currentTime = l * DT
    G_prop_hold = PropagateRK4(currentTime, MEM_TIME, kernel, G_prop_hold, G_prop)

G_prop[l + 1] = G_prop_hold.copy()
```

### 6.2 Dilation of the non-unitary propagator

Next, we delve into the crucial step in our workflow, wherein we harness the power of the Sz.-Nagy unitary dilation procedure to perform simulations on a quantum computer. This technique enables us to transform the non-unitary propagator $G(t)$, into a unitary propagator that inhabits an extended Hilbert space.

We initiate the process by computing the operator norm of $G(t)$ to assess whether it qualifies as a “contraction”. For $G(t)$ to meet the criteria for being a contraction, its operator norm must satisfy the condition $\|G(t)\|_O = \sup \frac{\|G(t)v\|}{\|v\|} \leq 1$. In scenarios where the original $G(t)$ does not satisfy the contraction requirement, we introduce a normalization factor denoted as $n_c$, which can be chosen as a number greater than $\|G(t)\|_O$. This factor is utilized to redefine $G(t)$ into a contraction form, specifically $G'(t) = G(t)/n_c$. 
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With $G'(t)$ as a “contraction”, the unitary operator denoted as $U_{G'}(t)$ is defined as:

$$U_{G'}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} G'(t) & D_{G'}(t) \\ D_{G'}(t) & -G'^\dagger(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

Here, $D_{G'}(t) = \sqrt{I - G'^\dagger(t)G'(t)}$ and $D_{G'^\dagger} = \sqrt{I - G'(t)G'^\dagger(t)}$, with $D_{G'}(t)$ representing the so-called defect superoperator of $G'(t)$. The resulting $U_{G'}(t)$ is a unitary superoperator, and resides in an extended Hilbert space that have the double size of the original system’s Hilbert space. Importantly, $U_{G'}(t)$ replicates the effect of $G'(t)$ in the original Hilbert space.

$$G'(t)\hat{\sigma}(0) \xrightarrow{\text{unitary dilation}} U_{G'}(t) (\hat{\sigma}(0)^T, 0, \cdots, 0)^T.$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)

By zero-padding the input vector to match the dimensionality of the expanded Hilbert space, the result vector obtained from the action of $U_{G'}(t)$ on the extended input vector, when projecting onto the original Hilbert space, is equivalent to the vector of $G'(t)$ acting on the original input vector.

The following function $\text{dilate}$ defined the dilation procedure, it gives $U_{G'}(t)$ and normalization factor $n_c$ with $G(t)$ as input.
Script 6.2: Dilation of the non-unitary propagator

```python
1 from numpy import linalg as la
2 import scipy.linalg as sp
3
4 def dilate(array):
5     # Normalization factor of 1.5 to ensure contraction
6     norm = la.norm(array,2)*1.5
7     array_new = array/norm
8     ident = np.eye(array.shape[0])
9
10     # Calculate the conjugate transpose of the G propagator
11     fcon = (array_new.conjugate()).T
12
13     # Calculate the defect matrix for dilation
14     fdef = sp.sqrtm(ident - np.dot(fcon, array_new))
15
16     # Calculate the defect matrix for the conjugate of the G propagator
17     fcondef = sp.sqrtm(ident - np.dot(array_new, fcon))
18
19     # Dilate the G propagator to create a unitary operator
20     array_dilated = np.block([[array_new, fcondef], [fdef, -fcon]])
21
22     return array_dilated, norm
```

6.3 Quantum Simulation of GQME with QASM Simulator

In this section, we will delve into the simulation of GQME using Qiskit’s QASM simulator, focusing on the spin-boson model. The quantum algorithm starts from initializing the quantum circuit with the initial state $(\hat{\sigma}(0)^T, 0, \cdots, 0)^T$. For the spin-boson model, this requires 3 qubits with 2 from the four components of $\hat{\sigma}(0)$ as in Eq. (15) and 1 from the dilation procedure that doubles the space. After initialization, the dilated propagator $U_G(t)$ is converted into a quantum gate and applied to the quantum circuit. Then, measuring two qubits at 00 or 11 [the first or fourth component in Eq. (15)] with the dilated qubit at 0, the electronic populations can be retrieved by taking the square root of the measuring probability and multiplying by the normalization factor $n_c$ is the dilation process: $\hat{\sigma}_{DD}(t) = n_c \times T_{000}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{AA}(t) = n_c \times T_{011}$. The quantum circuit is shown in figure 3.
For each specific time $t$, we generate the quantum circuit and perform the simulations. We implement the quantum circuit using Qiskit’s QASM simulator, which is shown below.

```python
pip install qiskit==0.45
pip install qiskit-aer

from qiskit import QuantumRegister, ClassicalRegister, QuantumCircuit, execute, Aer
from qiskit.visualization import plot_histogram
from qiskit.quantum_info import Operator
from qiskit.compiler import transpile
```

Figure 5: Circuit for implementing the GQME with a one-qubit dilation.
# Create a dictionary to store the measurement results
result = {'000': 0, '001': 0, '010': 0, '011': 0, '100': 0, '101': 0, '110': 0, '111': 0}

# Create lists to store the population for the acceptor and donor states
pop_accept = []
pop_donor = []

# Initial state in the dilated space
rho0_dilated = np.concatenate((np.array([1 + 0j, 0, 0, 0]), np.zeros(DOF_E_SQ)))

for i in range(TIME_STEPS):

    qr = QuantumRegister(3)  # Create a quantum register with 3 qubits
    cr = ClassicalRegister(3)  # Create a classical register to store measurement results
    qc = QuantumCircuit(qr, cr)  # Combine the quantum and classical registers to create the quantum circuit

    # Initialize the quantum circuit with the initial state
    qc.initialize(rho0_dilated, qr)

    # Dilated propagator
    U_G, norm = dilate(G_prop[i])

    # Create a custom unitary operator with the dilated propagator
    U_G_op = Operator(U_G)

    # Apply the unitary operator to the quantum circuit's qubits
    qc.unitary(U_G_op, qr)

    # Measure the qubits and store the results in the classical register
    qc.measure(qr, cr)

# Run the Simulation and Plot the Results
shots = 2000  # Number of shots
counts = execute(qc, Aer.get_backend('qasm_simulator'), shots=shots).result().get_counts()

# Update the result dictionary
for x in counts:
    result[x] = counts[x]

# Calculate the populations of donor and acceptor states from measurement probabilities
pd = np.sqrt(result['000'] / 2000) * norm  # Multiply by the normalization factor
pa = np.sqrt(result['011'] / 2000) * norm  # Multiply by the normalization factor

pop_donor.append(pd)  # Stacking the population for the donor state
pop_accept.append(pa)  # Stacking the population for the acceptor state
With the QAMS simulations complete, we can compare the resulting electronic state population dynamics to the Exact TT-TFD result.

**Script 6.5: Visualizing the Results**

```python
# Read the exact TT-TFD results
timeVec, sigma_tt_tfd = wr.read_operator_array("TTTFD_Output/TFDSigma_")
# Plot the population of the donor and acceptor states
plt.figure(figsize=(6,2))
plt.plot(timeVec, pop_donor, 'r-', label="quantum simulation")
plt.plot(timeVec, sigma_tt_tfd[:,0].real ,'ko', markersize=4, markevery=60, label='benchmark_TT-TFD')
plt.xlabel('$\Gamma t$','fontsize=15)
plt.ylabel('$\sigma_{DD}(t)$','fontsize=15)
plt.legend(loc = 'upper right')
```

This is shown in figure 6.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6**: Electronic donor state population dynamics of the spin-boson model, simulated by the GQME-based quantum algorithm as implemented on the IBM QASM quantum simulator. The result is compared to the numerically exact TT-TFD result.

### 7 Conclusion

In part III of the whole series, we have covered the basics of generalized quantum master equations, illustrating their simulation on quantum computers through the spin-boson model
example. We first introduce the basis of GQME and provide the classical simulation with Python. Then we introduce the quantum algorithm based on Sz.-Nagi dilation that can simulate GQME on a quantum computer. We hope this part serves as a starting point for the simulations of exact non-Markovian open quantum systems dynamics with quantum computers.
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